Case 5:10-cr-00434-RMW Document 57 Filed 04/29/11 Page 1 of 3 1 NINA J. GINSBERG DiMuroGinsberg P.C. 2 908 King Street, Ste. 200 Alexandria, VA 22314 3 Telephone: (703) 684-4333 Facsimile: (703) 548-3181 4 **GAIL SHIFMAN** 5 Law Offices of Gail Shifman *E-FILED - 4/29/11* 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3850 San Francisco, CA 94104 6 Telephone: (415) 551-1500 7 Facsimile: (415) 551-1502 8 Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL BARRY SHOR 9 10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 12 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CR-10-0434 RMW 15 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [] ORDER CONTINUING HEARING AND 16 vs. **EXCLUDING TIME** 17 MICHAEL BARRY SHOR, 18 Defendant. 19 Plaintiff, by and through its attorney of record, and defendant, by and through his 20 attorneys of record, hereby stipulate and ask the Court to find as follows: 21 1. That the parties are currently scheduled for a status conference on May 2, 2011 at 9:00 22 a.m. 23 2. That additional time is required for the defense to review discovery which has 24 recently been provided. 25 3. That the parties remain in discussions and working diligently to resolve this matter but 26

Case 5:10-cr-00434-RMW Document 57 Filed 04/29/11 Page 2 of 3

1 require additional time for continuing discussions following the additional discovery review. 2 3. That at the present time neither party believes that the Court's intervention is 3 necessary to facilitate a more efficient and responsive activity on behalf of either party. 4 4. That the parties are therefore requesting that the status conference be continued 5 from May 2, 2011 at 9.00 a.m. to July 11, 2011 at 9:00 a.m., a date that facilitates completion of 6 the discovery review and the schedules of counsel and request the Court to order such a 7 continuance. 8 5.. The parties believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would 9 deny defendant continuity of counsel, would deny defendant's counsel and defendant the 10 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due 11 diligence, and that the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the 12 interest of the public and defendant in a trial within the date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act. 13 6. Thus, the parties respectfully request that the Court find that the time period from 14 May 2, 2010 to July 11, 2011 is excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) 15 because it results from a continuance granted by the Court on the basis of the Court's finding 16 that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and 17 the defendant in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably 18 deny defendant continuity of counsel and would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time 19 necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account due diligence. 20 SO STIPULATED. 21 Dated: April 20, 2011 /s/**GARY FRY** 22 Assistant United States Attorney 23 Dated: April 20, 2011 NINA J. GINSBERG 24 Dated: April 20, 2011 25 GAIL SHIFMAN

26

Counsel for Defendant SHOR

[] ORDER 1 2 FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, THE COURT ADOPTS THE FINDINGS OF FACT 3 AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW STIPULATED TO BY THE PARTIES. THEREFORE, IT IS 4 SO FOUND AND ORDERED THAT: 5 1. The currently scheduled May 2, 2011, hearing is vacated. A status conference is now 6 scheduled for 9:00 a.m. on July 11, 2011. 7 2. The time period from March 2, 2011 to July 11, 2011 is deemed excludable 8 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by 9 the Court on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action 10 outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and because failure to 11 grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective 12 preparation for trial, taking into account due diligence. The Court finds that nothing in this 13 stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act 14 dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which trial must 15 commence. 16 Dated: April 29, 2011 17 United States District Judge 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26